**EXAMINING COMMITTEE ACCEPTANCE**

Mr./Mrs. Insert your name and surname here

**ACCEPTANCE TO BE PART OF THE EXAMINING COMMITTEE**

I hereby inform that I have been proposed to be part of the examining committee that will judge the thesis of Insert name of the student here, a PhD student at the University of Santiago de Compostela, with the title Insert thesis title here. I confirm my acceptance to be part of it in the event of being appointed as such by the USC.

**STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE**

[ ]  I declare that, as a doctor, I have accredited research experience. For these purposes, accredited research experience is understood to be in the possession of, at least, a period of research activity recognized by the National Commission for the Evaluation of Research Activity (hereinafter, CNEAI) in application of Royal Decree 1086/1989, of August 28, on university professor remuneration.

In the event that the researcher is not in a position to prove his/her research experience in this way, comparable research merits must be accredited. For these purposes, the Executive Commission of EDIUS, in its meeting on December 20, 2024, establishes that:

[ ]  All individuals with a doctorate who meet the necessary conditions and who, by law, cannot or could not request recognition of a period of recognized research activity (sexennium) or who hold a position to which the aforementioned criterion does not apply, as well as professionals of recognized competence who carry out their activities without affiliation to official higher education or research organizations, may serve as members of an evaluation committee.

**Indicate Comparale Research**

**Insert text**

Likewise, I declare that I am not in any situation that could be classified as a reason for abstention or disqualification according to Article 8 of the USC Faculty Selection Regulations **(See note)**.

I commit to not using or disseminating the contents of the thesis until they are public.

And for the record and for the appropriate effects, I sign this document in Insert city here on Select date.

signature (preferably electronic)

**NOTE:**

For the members of the evaluation committees for the doctoral thesis at USC, regarding potential conflicts of interest and incompatibilities, they must refrain from acting, and interested parties may challenge them, at any time during the procedure, when any of the circumstances provided for in article 23.2 of Law 40/2015, of October 1, on the legal regime of the public sector occurs. The applicable regulations are outlined in Article 8 of the Resolution dated October 23, 2023, which orders the publication of the Regulation for the selection of faculty at USC.

**Article 8: Abstention and Recusal.**

1. Members of the commissions must refrain from acting, and interested parties may challenge them at any time during the procedure, when any of the circumstances provided for in Article 23.2 of Law 40/2015, of October 1, on the legal Regime of the Public Sector, apply to them, and they must make an express statement of the reasons that disqualify them.

2. Likewise, in accordance with Article 32.4 of Royal Decree 678/2023, of July 18, which regulates state accreditation for access to university teaching bodies and the regime for competitions for access to positions in those bodies, selected members must declare any potential conflicts of interests and resign from being part of the commission when any of the following circumstances regarding any of the candidate individuals apply:

a) Having been a co-author of publications or patents in the last six years.

b) Having maintained a contractual relationship or being a member of the research teams participating in research projects or contracts alongside any candidate.

c) To have supervised or directed their doctoral thesis, defended in the last six years.

**[…]**